I. Remarks upon some Dissertations lately publish'd at Paris, by the Rev. P. Souciet, against Sir Isaac Newton's Chronology. By Dr. Edmund Halley, Astronomer-Royal, F. R. S.

Here has been lately put into my hands a Book published last Vern or ? published last Year at Paris by Father Soucier, Tesuit, against our late President Sir Isaac Newton his Chronology, without waiting till the Book be published, and without knowing the Contents thereof, otherwife than by a thort Extract, made at the defire of a very great Person, and without intention that it should be publickly seen. However, a Copy thereof having been (as I suppose) surreptitiously obtained and carried over into France, the same was first translated into French, and then printed at Paris with a pretended Refutation thereof by the same P. Souciet. Since then. Sir Isaac having answered, as he thought, his Objections, has thereby given him a handle to publish five other Differtations against the new System of Chronology, as he calls it; the first and last of which, being chiefly Astronomical (since the great Author is no more) feem properly to fall under my examination, both on account of the Post in which I have the honour to serve his Majesty in quality of his Astronomer, as also from the long Acquaintance and Friendship that has subsisted between the Deceased and my felf.

And first, I observe, that P. Souciet readily allows what seems to be the most exceptionable part of the whole System, viz. that Chiron the Centaur fixt the E e Colures.

Colures, in the ancient Sphere of fixt Stars, in the fame places as Hipparchus tells us they had been supposed by Eudoxus many Centuries of Years after Chiron. His words are these, ev se to effequent won on oi nei dau σε κήτες την κεραλήν, η τε κεις τα νώτα κατά πλάτ. doubtedly, was the Polition of the Colure of the Vernal Equinox many Ages before Eudoxus; but whether so old as Chiron, and the Argonautick Expedition, I shall not undertake at this time to enquire; but only observe, that P. Souciet in his Fastes du Monde, or Abridgment of his Chronology, prefixt to these Disser-tations, makes the Argonautick Expedition 1467 Years before our Æra of the Birth of Jesus Christ; and the taking of Troy 1388 Years before it, which Date is 120 Years sooner than the Parian Chronicle, read and publisht by our Learned Selden in his Marmora Arundeliana, makes it; and above 500 Years earlier than the time assigned by Sir Isaac Newton.

Now both of them making use of the same Premisfes, it may feem strange that their Conclusions should be so widely distant: And indeed upon a Prapossession that the Argonautick Expedition and the Siege of Troy could not have been less than 1000 Years before Christ. I must own, I was at first somewhat prejudiced in favour of P. Souciet, taking his Calculations for granted: and not having feen Sir Isaac's Work. But obferving that he quotes Sir Isaac, as saying, that in confe. quence of what Hipparchus has recorded from Eudoxus. the Equinoctial Colure in the old Sphere was about 7° 36' from the first Star of Aries, I was resolved to examine the matter with due Attention, especially fince the Good Father seems to triumph over his Adversary, and to treat a Man of his Figure in the Common-wealth of Learning in a very ludicrous manner, notwithstanding the several fine things he says of him to palliate it. I find

I find the Dispute to be chiefly over what part of the Back of Aries the Colure past: The Words of Hipparchus, as from Eudoxus, are fimply, that it past o. ver the Back, without faying over what Star, or over what part of the Back it past. And the same Hipparchus shews, that if it past over the Star in the middle of the Back, it greatly differed from the Situation thereof in his time; and conceiving thence that the Æquino-Ctial Points might have a regressive motion, he was the first that attempted to define their motion; but having no Observations older than those of *Timocharis*. made within less than 200 Years of his own time, and very course withal, he was not able to determine the quantity thereof, but guest it to be about a Degree in 100 Years: which length of time and the more curious Observation of the Moderns has now proved to be 18 24'. or rather 50" per Annum.

In a word, Sir Isaac takes the Colure to have past over the middle of the Constellation of Aries, and very near the Star in the middle of the Back (v Bayero). And P. Souciet will have it, that it past over the middle of the Sign or Dodecatemorion of Aries, reckoning the Sign to begin with the first Star of the Constellation; and by consequence his Colure must pass about midway between the Rump and first of the Tayl of Aries (e and Bayero;) which Situation could never be said to be over the Back: But whilst Sir Isaac makes the Colure but 7° 36', from the first Star of Aries, which P. Souciet makes 15 Degrees from it, the difference 7° 24' at 50" per Annum, makes 533 Years difference in the result.

Let us now examine when the Stars in question did actually pass under the Colure of the Vernal Aquinox, assuming their places as they are in Mr. Flamsteed's British Catalogue, fitted to the beginning of the Year 1690.

Ee 2 He

He places the first Star of Aries in 28° 51' of Aries 7° 9'. North Latitude. And supposing the Obliquity of the Ecliptick 23° 29'; it will be as Radius to the Tangent of 23° 29': so the Tangent of 7° 9' to the Sine of 3° 7' 1, the difference of Longitude between the Star and the Point in the Ecliptick which past under the Colure at the same time with the Star; so that this Point was, Anno 1690 ineunte, in \(\gamma 25^{\circ} 43' \) 30', and therefore allowing 50' per Annum, the Star was under the Colure 1852 Years before the Epocha of the British Catalogue, that is, 162 Years before our Æra of the Nativity of Jesus Christ, in which very Year Hipparchus began to observe the Æquinoxes recorded by Ptalemy, Lib. III. Cap. 2.

If therefore with Sir Isaac we add 7° 36' to the Long of the first Star of Aries, as it was in 1690, we shall have 36° 27', which the Colure moves in 2624 Years: And deducting 1690 therefrom, we shall have 934 Years before Christ for the Argonautick Expedition. And if to 7° 36' we add 3° 7' \(\frac{1}{2}\) we shall have 10° 43' \(\frac{1}{2}\), that is, 772 Years before the first Star of Aries past the Colure.

Next let us inquire when the Star in the middle of the Back of Aries (* Bayero) past the Colure. Its Longitude Anno 1690 ineunte, was 9° 48' 35" of Taurus, with North Lat. 6° 8'; but by the foregoing Analogy, the Point in the Ecliptick, over which the Colure past at the same time with it, was 2° 40' ½ before it, that is in o 7° 8'. Now 37° 8' give 2674 Years nearly, or 984 Years before Christ, when that Star was under the Aquinoctial Colure, being but halt a Century earlier than Sir Isaac places the Argonautick Expedition; and shews that he took the middle of Aries over which the Colure is supposed to have past, to be the middle of the Constellation, and not of the Dodecatemorion, and in so doing,

doing, no doubt, had reason to place this Colure 7° 36" in consequence of the first Star of Aries, instead of 8° 17' as it was when the Star in the middle of the Back of Aries was under the Colure.

But if with P. Souciet, you make the Colure to interfect the Ecliptick 15 Degrees from the first Star of Aries, or 43°51' from the Equinoctial Point, as it was Anno 1690, we shall have the time nearly 1470 Years before Christ; but then the Colure will be very far from the middle of the Back of Aries, and leave only his Tayl to the Eastward, as it leaves the Head of the Whale to the Westward, so as by no means to agree with the Description we have of it from Hipparchus; which it were to be wisht had been more definitive, and as well circumstanced as what Hipparchus has lest us of the Position of the Colures in his own time, which upon examination I find to be very consistent, and the Observations made with sufficient care:

Thus I hope, I have shewn P. Souciet, that there was no Assectation of Mystery in Sir Isaac's placing the Colure 7° 36' from the first Star of Acies, nor any occasion to drole as he does Pag. 131, 132. on that account; as also that he ought to have deducted 3° 7' \(\frac{1}{2}\) out of the 15 Degrees he assumes for the distance of his Colure from the first Star of Acies, which will bring him 255 Years nearer to Sir Isaac Newton's time. He is likewise entreated in the next Edition of his Dissertations to be a little more careful of his Numbers than he has been Pag. 134, 135, and inform himself in the Sphericks, so as to give us the Right Ascensions of the Stars truly, from their given Longitudes and Latitudes.

Lastly, I would inform him, that the Star in the Centaur which Hipparchus describes, as being in his time very near the Autumnal Colure, was not 4 of Bayer, but certainly 4, and that, anno ineunte 1690 its Longitude was Scorpio 8° 43' 40", with South Latitude 27° 59'. But the Colure passing through that Star, by the Proportion given above, cuts the Ecliptick 13° 20' 50" in Antecedence of the Star that is in Libra 25° 22' 50". But 25° 22' 50" give 1827 Years: wherefore the Time this Star was in the Colure was 137 Years before Christ, when Hipparchus slourished and might very well observe it.